

[2026:JHHC:4346]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.10107 of 2025

Bishram Ekka, aged about 52 years, S/o Late Kuwar Ekka, R/o
Sawai, P.O. & P.S. Mufssil, Dist.-Simdega, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mohit Prakash, Advocate
 Mr. Vishal Srivastava, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Lily Sahay, Addl.P.P

Order No.03 Dated- 16-02-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Simdega (Mahila) P.S. Case No.18 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 64 of B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 4, 6 and 8 of the POCSO Act, pending in the Court of learned Sessions Judge-cum-Spl. Judge (POCSO), Simdega.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner committed penetrative sexual assault upon the minor victim girl and committed rape upon her. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 26.06.2025 as is evident from para-1 of this bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of this case. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and that the petitioner is the biological father of victim's child as per the FSL report, there is every chance of the petitioner

absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of committing penetrative sexual assault upon the minor victim girl and committed rape upon her and also being the biological father of victim's child as per the FSL report as also the chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the above-named petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 16.02.2026/

Madhav/-

Uploaded on:17/02/2026