

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1289 of 2024

Md. Jamal Ansari @ Md. Jamal --- Appellant
Versus
The State of Jharkhand. --- --- Respondent

CORAM: **Hon’ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay**
Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ambuj Nath

For the Appellant : Mr. Binay Kumar Sahay, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Shweta Singh, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Manoj Kumar No.2, Advocate

I.A. No.8473 of 2025

05/10.07.2025 Heard Mr. Binay Kumar Sahay, learned counsel appearing for the appellant and Mrs. Shweta Singh, learned APP assisted by Mr. Manoj Kumar No.2, learned counsel appearing for the informant.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during pendency of the appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offence punishable under Section 302 of the I.P.C. and has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for life along with a fine of Rs.10,000/-.

It has been alleged that the brother of the informant was shot dead by a person and suspicion was cast upon one Jamal Miyan.

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that so far as the appellant is concerned, he is not the person who has been attributed to have shot at the deceased. Learned counsel submits that it was Prince Khan who was also in the motorcycle who had fired at the deceased and two of the pillion riders namely Shekhar Vishwakarma and Raushan Pandey have been granted bail by this Court in Cr. Appeal (DB) No.1600 of 2024 and Cr. Appeal (DB) No.1174 of 2024.

Mr. Manoj Kumar No.2, learned counsel for the informant has submitted that it was the present appellant who had hired the shooters including Prince Khan. He has admitted that shot was fired by Prince Khan upon the deceased. Learned counsel for the informant adds that on the confession of the appellant some arms were recovered to which Mr. Sahay

has replied that the same were recovered from an open place.

Considering the fact that the main allegation of firing at the deceased is raised upon Prince Khan and so far as the present appellant is concerned, he is said to have conspired and hired the shooters, we are inclined to admit the appellant on bail. Accordingly, the appellant above named is directed to be released on bail during pendency of the appeal on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Koderma in connection with S.T. No. 98 of 2019, arising out of Koderma P.S. Case No.83 of 2019.

I.A. No. 8473 of 2025 stands allowed and disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Ambuj Nath, J.)

Shamim/