

no purpose will be served in taking steps for fresh service of notice upon the respondent-husband at the address which has been furnished in the plaint. Further submission has been made that since the respondent-husband is living in Bangalore, the appellant-wife having no correct address, is not in a position to comply with the order dated 29.4.2025. Therefore, the present interlocutory application has been filed for recall of the aforesaid order and, in consequence thereof, allow the appellant-wife to take steps for substituted service of notice by paper publication both in the district of Bokaro and in the city of Bangalore in order to secure the appearance of the respondent-husband.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions that the address of the respondent-husband reflected in the impugned judgment is Kunwar Singh Colony, Chas, PS: Chas, Boakaro and the said house has been sold out, hence, difficulty of the appellant-wife cannot be ruled out. Since respondent husband is living in the Bangalore, therefore, it is quite impossible to trace out the respondent-husband in the city of Bangalore to affect the notice through process server or through registered post.

6. Therefore, the present interlocutory application being IA No. 13905 of 2025 is allowed and, accordingly, the order dated 29.4.2025 is hereby recalled. The appellant-wife is at liberty to take substituted service of notice through paper publication.

7. Office to proceed for the needful.

8. Let a supplementary affidavit be filed on 17.11.2025 showing the paper cutting, widely published in the district of Bokaro and in the city of Bangalore.

9. Let the matter be listed on 17.11.2025 for filing specific affidavit on behalf of the appellant.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)