

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 958 of 2022

1. Munna Kureshi @ Munnu Kureshi @ Munnu
2. Kail Kureshi @ Afzal Kureshi
... Appellants
Versus
The State of Jharkhand ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant No.1 : Mr. Dharmendra Kr. Malityar, Advocate
For the Informant : Mrs. Sobha Rani, Advocate

05/15.04.2026

I.A. No. 4642 of 2026

Heard Mr. Dharmendra Kr. Malityar, the learned counsel for the appellant no.1 and Mrs. Sobha Rani, the learned counsel appearing for the informant.

The prayer for bail of the appellant no.1 was earlier dismissed in I.A. No. 5993 of 2025.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant no.1 that it is the appellant no.2 who is said to be the main assailant and the appellant no.1 has been convicted only on account of the fact that he had caught hold of the hands of the deceased. Learned counsel further submits that the appellant no.1 is in custody since long.

Learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant no.1.

It seems that the act of the appellant no.1 facilitated the murder of the son of the deceased and on such consideration, the prayer for bail of the appellant no.1 was recently rejected on 01.07.2025. We do not find any fresh reasons to reconsider the prayer for bail of the appellant no.1 and consequently, this I.A. stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.)

15.04.2026

S.B. Uploaded on 16.04.2026