

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 1653 of 2026

Surendra Prasad @ Surendra Prasad Mehta, aged about 55 years, S/o Nand Kishore Prasad Mehta, R/o Village- Hadari, P.O.- Ichak, P.S.- Ichak, Dist- Hazaribag, Jharkhand
... .. **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Commissioner, Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribagh, P.O.- Sadar, P.S.- Sadar, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
3. Jagarnath Ram, S/O Late Prasadi Rabidas.
4. Lala Mohan Ram, S/O Late Prasadi Rabidas,
5. Basanti Devi, D/O Late Prasadi Rabidas,
6. Mukesh Ram, S/O Late Prasadi Rabidas,
7. Ganesh Ram, S/O Late Prasadi Rabidas,
8. Babita Devi, D/O Late Prasadi Rabidas
9. Sabita Devi, D/O Late Prasadi Rabidas,
All respondents nos.3 to 9 are residents of village- Hadari, P.O.- Ichak, P.S. Ichak, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
10. Nand Kishore Prasad Mehta, S/O Late Dilo Mahto, R/O village- Hadari, P.O. Ichak, P.S. Ichak, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
11. Rajendra Prasad, S/O Late Dhani Mahto R/O Village- Hadari, P.O.- Ichak, P.S. Ichak, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
12. Sahdeo Gope S/O Late Atam Gope R/O Village- Hadari, P.O.- Ichak, P.S. Ichak, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
13. Gauri Shankar Singh S/o Late Thakur Dayal Singh, R/O Village- Ichak, P.O.- Ichak, P.S. Ichak, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.
14. Chetlal Mahto S/O Siter Mahto, Village- Chapraakh, P.O. Ichak, P.S.- Ichak, District- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.

... .. **Respondent(s)**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gautam Kumar Pandey, Advocate.
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Ankit Kumar, A.C to S.C-I.

02/ 18th March, 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.
2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 31.07.2025 passed in Revenue Revision No. 37 of 2016 passed by the Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribagh whereby, the learned Commissioner allowed the substitution petition filed by the respondents who were the petitioners before the Commissioner.

3. The learned counsel submits the period of limitation for filing the substitution petition expired on 28th June, 2024, but the application was filed only on 28.03.2025 beyond the period of limitation, which was allowed.
4. Considering the facts that the Commissioner has only allowed the substitution application, I am not inclined to exercise my extra-ordinary discretionary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in this case. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

18.03.2026

Amar/-

Uploaded on:- 20.03.2026