

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
ABA No.5499 of 2025

Sarif Ansari, aged about 34 years, son of Rafik Miyan, resident of village- Kusumdihi, P.O. & P.S. – Kunda, District – Deoghar, Jharkhand
.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opp. Party

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pran Pranay, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Bandana Sinha, A.P.P.

2/18.09.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kunda P.S. Case No.98 of 2025, for the alleged offences registered under Sections 333, 64(2)(m), 109(1), 352 & 351 (2) of the BNS, 2023, pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Deoghar.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and he is working in the motor garage of the petitioner. He further submits that the certain articles have been stolen from the garage by husband of the informant and for that a Panchayati has been held. He further submits that the false allegations are made and since one-month, the relationship was established, however the FIR has been lodged thereafter. He then submits that the compromise has also been taken place between the parties and that has been brought on record by way of Annexure -2. He next submits that although the case is not compoundable, however in view of the aforesaid grounds, the

anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there and in view of that the compromise cannot be a ground for grant for anticipatory bail.

5. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that the allegations are made that since last one month, relationship has been established with the informant, however the FIR has been lodged on 08.5.2025. If the said situation was there at the first instance, the case was required to be lodged, however after having the relationship of one month, the FIR has been lodged and further the compromise has arrived at between the parties. In view of the facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner above named is directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from the date of receipt of the order and on the event of his surrender / arrest, he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty-Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount of each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Deoghar, in connection with Kunda P.S. Case No.98 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

7. This Anticipatory Bail Application is accordingly allowed and disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

18.09.2025
R.Kumar