

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5187 of 2026

Kaushal Kishore Sharma @ Kaushal Kishore Jagat Sharma,
aged about 61 years, son of Late Jagat Narayan Sharma,
resident of village – Sheikhpura, Neuri, PO – Sheikhpura, PS –
Belaganj and District – Gaya, Bihar **Petitioner**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Sidhartha Roy, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Suraj Deo Munda, Advocate

02/17.09.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Mahila (Sadar) P.S. Case No.03 of 2026, for the alleged offences
registered under Sections 85, 115(2), 303(2), 351(2) and 352 of
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3/4 of Dowry
Prohibition Act, pending in the Court of learned J.M. 1st Class,
Hazaribagh.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the supplementary affidavit is ready, however, it has not been filed
in the Registry as the matter was on the Board and in view of that
the said supplementary affidavit is taken on record.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further
submits that the petitioner happened to be father-in-law of the

informant and false allegations are made of demand of dowry. He then submits that there is no doubt that certain house rent allowance amount has been transferred in the account of the petitioner and that is of the informant, however, the said amount has already been returned by the petitioner. To buttress this argument, he refers to supplementary affidavit and submits that disclosure is made in the accounts statement that is the deposition receipt of HDFC bank and it has been further stated that certain amount has also been returned in cash. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the petitioner happened to be father-in-law and the allegations are there of demand of dowry.

6. On perusal of the FIR, it transpires that so far the demand of dowry is concerned that allegation is against the husband and mother-in-law and it has been pointed out that mother-in-law has been granted anticipatory bail by learned Sessions Judge. In light of annexures of the supplementary affidavit prima facie it appears that certain amount has been returned to the informant and it has been further stated in paragraph No.17 of the petition that certain amount has been returned in cash. In the attending facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to provide anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is hereby directed

to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today, and in the event of his surrender/arrest, the petitioner, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand), with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Hazaribagh in connection with Mahila (Sadar) P.S. Case No.03 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 17.09.2026
Sangam/*