

(2026:JHHC:27067)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 8406 of 2026

1. Musafir Ansari @ Musafir, aged about 22 years, s/o Vanju Mian, r/o Village-Bara Dumria, P.O.+P.S.-Masalia, Dist.-Dumka
 2. Adil Ansari @ Aadil Ansari, aged about 18 years, s/o Ajim Ansari, r/o Village-Bara Dumria, P.O.+P.S.-Masalia, Dist.-Dumka
- ... Petitioners
- Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Praful Jojo, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 09.09.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Masalia P.S. Case No.34 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 115(2)/ 126(2) /117(2) /109(1) /3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has attempted to commit murder of Raju Ansari. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false and it is the admitted case of the prosecutrix that the petitioners along with Raju Ansari took liquor and in fact, he sustained injury by an accident while they were returning in the Scooty after taking the liquor. It is then submitted that the petitioners have also sustained injuries and have received treatment and only because the petitioners did not accede to the request of the relatives of the victim to meet the expenses of the treatment of the victim in the hospital, hence, they have falsely been implicated in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no.22 of the bail application. It is

then submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 29.06.2026 and 30.06.2026 respectively, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 22 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioners undertake to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Dumka, in connection with Masalia P.S. Case No.34 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioners will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish their mobile numbers and a copy of their Aadhar Cards in the court below with the undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case, with further condition that they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

09.09.2026
Gunjan-