

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 1177 of 2025

M/s Dhananjay Yadav, a Partnership Firm, through one of
its Partners, Dhananjay Yadav, S/o Jhunkhun Yadav, R/o
Main Road, Sesai, P.O. & P.S.-Sesai, District-Gumla
..... Petitioner

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Shri Sanjay Kujur, Executive Director, Jharkhand State
Building Construction Corporation Ltd., Ranchi
..... Opp. Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Sahani, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ashutosh Anand No. 2, AC to GA-IV
For the O.P. No. 2 : Ms. Khalida Haya Rashmi, Advocate

Order No.04

Date: 10.04.2026

1. The present contempt case has been filed for initiating contempt proceeding against the opposite party No. 2 for his wilful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated 07.12.2022 passed in W.P. (C) No. 4001 of 2022.
2. Ms. Khalida Haya Rashmi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party No. 2, refers to the informed decision/reasoned order dated 15.02.2023 passed by the Managing Director, Jharkhand State Building Construction Corporation Limited (JSBCCL), Ranchi, whereby a certain amount has been found admissible to the petitioner for the work in question. Accordingly, the opposite party No. 2- Executive Director, JSBCCL, Ranchi has been directed to make the said payment to the petitioner after required fund is allotted by the Department of School Education & Literacy.
3. It is, thus, submitted that the aforesaid order of this Court has been complied.

4. As against this, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the order dated 15.02.2023 passed by the Managing Director, JSBCCL, Ranchi itself suggests that though a certain amount has been found admissible to the petitioner, however, the same has not been paid to him, rather has been made dependent upon allotment of the required fund by the Department of School Education & Literacy.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the Managing Director, JSBCCL, Ranchi vide informed decision/reasoned order has found that certain amount is payable to the petitioner and has directed the opposite party No. 2 to make the effective payment of the same, subject to the allotment of required fund by the Department of School Education & Literacy, this Court is of the view that the action of the Managing Director, JSBCCL, Ranchi as well as the opposite party No. 2 cannot be said to be in true compliance of the order dated 07.12.2022 passed in W.P. (C) No. 4001 of 2022.
6. Under the said circumstance, though this Court is inclined to dispose of the present contempt case, however, the petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh contempt case in this regard if the effective payment for the work in question is not made to the petitioner within six weeks from today.
7. The present contempt case is disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

10.04.2026

Vikas/

Uploaded on 10.04.2026