

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 8508 of 2026

1. Nishant Tiwari @ Nishant Kumar aged about 30 years, son of Ashok Kumar Tiwari@ Ashok Tiwari, Resident of Village-Redma, P.O. and P.S. Medninagar, Dist. Palamau, Jharkhand **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opp. Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mrs. Dr. Vandana Singh, Advocate
: Mr. Amandeep Kr. Pandey, Advocate
Mr. Vivek Singh, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, APP

- 02/16.09.2026 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with S.T. No. 308 of 2026, arising out of Garhwa (M) P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025, for the offences registered under Section 69 of the B.N.S. 2023, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Garhwa.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that charge sheet has been submitted for alleged offence under Section 69 of the BNS, 2023. The victim is aged 27 years. As per the FIR itself, the victim had love affair with the petitioner for the last 07 years and ultimately the talk of marriage was going on but the marriage did not materialize. The allegation is that the petitioner was threatening the victim that he would make her photograph and video viral. The learned counsel submits that charge sheet has not been submitted under any of the provisions of the Information Technology Act which reveals that photographs and video have not been recovered. The petitioner is in custody since 17.07.2026 having no criminal antecedent.
4. The learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.
5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, it appears that the petitioner and the victim were having relationship for quite some time and talks of marriage had also happened and ultimately the marriage did not materialize , the charge sheet having been submitted, the petitioner above named is directed to be enlarged on bail on his

furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Garhwa, in connection with S.T. No. 308 of 2026, arising out of Garhwa (M) P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025 on the following conditions:

- (i) *The learned court shall call upon the antecedent report of the petitioner and if any antecedent is found, the petitioner shall not be released on bail.*
- (ii) *The petitioner shall not disturb the victim in any manner and in case of any complain, the victim would approach the learned court for cancellation of the bail of the petitioner.*
- (iii) *One of the bailors would be the present pairvikar of the petitioner.*
- (iv) *The other bailor should be his close relative.*
- (v) *The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.*
- (vi) *The petitioner will deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his mobile number before the learned court which he will not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.*
- (vii) *The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.*

6. Let this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through FAX/e-mail.

7. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)