

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Criminal Appeal (DB) No. 1212 of 2025

Budhishwar Hansda @ Budheshwar Hansda ... **Appellant**
Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... **Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGONMUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY**

For the Appellant : Mr. Atanu Banerjee, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Rajneesh Vardhan, A.P.P.

Order No. 03/ Dated: 25th November, 2025

I.A. No. 12361 of 2025

Heard Mr. Atanu Banerjee, learned counsel appearing for the appellant and learned A.P.P.

2. This interlocutory application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences punishable u/s- 376 AB of the I.P.C. and u/s- 6 and 8 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to R.I. for life along with a fine of Rs. 50,000/- for the offences u/s- 6(1) of the POCSO Act.

4. It has been alleged that the appellant and his friends had enticed away the daughter of the informant to the bathroom of Jhaba Hembram where she was subjected to rape.

5. Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that the medical report does not support the factum of rape.

6. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

7. The victim has been examined as P.W.-1 and she has categorically stated about the appellant being also one of the persons who had subjected her to rape. The age of the victim has been assessed to be between 6-8 years.

8. On consideration of aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

9. I.A. No. 12361 of 2025 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 25th November, 2025

Vedanti/-