

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No.4475 of 2022

Vishal Kumar Sharma & Ors.

... .. **Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors.

... .. **Respondents**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Saurav Arun, Advocate
Ms. Ayushi, Advocate

For the Respondent(s): Ms. Rishi Bharati, AC to AAG-II

09/ 25.03.2025

I.A. No.3599 of 2025

By filing this interlocutory application, the petitioners pray to amend the writ petition in view of the subsequent developments.

2. In the main writ petition, the petitioner have prayed for regularization.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that during pendency of the writ petition, the respondents have dismissed the prayer for regularization of the petitioner, which the petitioner wants to challenge. Thus, the petitioners seek to amend the writ petition as mentioned in para-9 to this interlocutory application.

4. The State has got no objection to the aforesaid prayer.

5. Considering the aforesaid submission, since the petitioners want to challenge the action of the respondents, which had taken place after filing of this writ petition and also for proper disposal of this writ petition, it is necessary to take into consideration the facts narrated therein. Thus, this interlocutory application is **allowed.**

6. The petitioners are directed to carry out the proposed amendment, in red ink and file an amended writ petition incorporating the proposed amendment as sought for in this

interlocutory application. The entire process should be completed within two weeks.

- 7.** List this case under the appropriate heading.
- 8.** The respondents are directed to file supplementary counter affidavit to the amended writ petition, within six weeks.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

Prashant.Cp-1