

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 5455 of 2025

- 1.Faruk Sk, aged about 44 years son of Alli Shekh resident of Naya Farsa, PO Bhawanipur PS Pakur(M) District Pakur Jharkhand
- 2.Nurshed Sk. Aged about 31 years son of Faruk Sk Resident of Village Naya Farsa, PO Bhawanipur, PS Pakur(M), Dist. Pakur, Jharkhand
- 3.Suk Mohammad Sk @ Sukmohamad aged about 43 years son of Asraf Sk @ Asraful Sk, resident of Village Naya Farsa, PO Bhawanipur PS Pakur(M), District Pakur
- 4.Samu Sk aged about 26 years son of Asraf Sk @ Asraful Sk resident of Ward No.6 Pandhayat Bhawanipur PO Bhawanipur PS Pakur (M) District Pakur Jharkhand
- 5.Moti Sk @ Matiur Shekh aged about 49 years son of Late Alli Sk resident of Village Kalahapur PO Kalahapur PS Muraroi, District Birbhum West Bengal

.... Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	:-	Mr. Shwetang Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mr. Ritesh Kumar Mahto, Advocate
For the Informant	:-	Mr. Zaid Ahmad, Advocate

2/17.09.2025 Heard learned counsels for petitioners and for State and for the informant.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pakur (Mufassil) PS Case No.139 of 2025, for offence registered under section 126(2), 127(2), 109(1), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 118(2) r/w 3(5) of BNS, 2-23 , pending in court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pakur.

3. Mr. Shwetang Tiwary, the learned counsel for petitioners submits that there is land dispute between the parties and the allegations are general and omnibus and the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as disclosed in paragraph no.12 and the scuffle took place due to land dispute. He submits that injury is there however that was not

intentional and on these grounds he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted to the petitioners.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that allegations of assault is there against all the accused persons and injury is also received by the husband of the informant.

5. Learned counsel for the informant opposes and submits that multiple injuries have been received on the body of the husband of the informant.

6. Considering that there is land dispute between the parties and for that scuffle took place and it is not clear on whose blow such injury is received and the petitioners have got no criminal antecedent as aforesid, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners.

7. Accordingly, petitioners, above named, are hereby directed to surrender before learned court within three weeks from today, and in event of their surrender/arrest, petitioners, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each, with two sureties of like amount each, to satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pakur, in connection with Pakur (Mufassil) PS Case No.139 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated : 17th Sept., 2025
SI/