

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.8425 of 2026

Kaira Kunkal, aged about 35 years, S/o Late Gardi Kunkal,
Resident of Village & P.O. – Gitilpee, P.S.- Manjhari, Dist.- West
Singhbhum (Jharkhand).

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anjani Kumari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-02 Dated:-09-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T.
Case No. 78 of 2026 arising out of Manjhari P.S. Case No. 62 of 2025
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238(b),
3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation
against the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed murder of
Kunwar Hansda. It is next submitted that the allegation against the
petitioner is false. It is then submitted that though the petitioner is not
named in the FIR but he has been implicated in this case only on the
basis of confessional statement of Bhagwan Kunkal and in the
meanwhile, the brother of the deceased who is the informant of the
case has been examined as PW-1 but he has not stated anything to
implicate the petitioner in this case and the PW-2 – brother-in-law of
the deceased has stated about the co-accused Bhagwan Kunkal
having committed the murder of the deceased but he has not stated
anything either; to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is also
submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.10.2025 as
mentioned in para-20 of the instant bail application. It is next

submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-17 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertakes not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Addl. Sessions Judge-III, West Singhbhum at Chaibasa in connection with S.T. Case No. 78 of 2026 arising out of Manjhari P.S. Case No. 62 of 2025 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:-09.09.2026
Saroj/