

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 8366 of 2026

Dandan Yadav @ Dadan Prasad Yadav, aged about 39 years,
Son of Badrinath Yadav, Resident of Village -Sarsatiya, P.O. -
Hariganwa, P.S. -Bardiha, District -Garhwa (Jharkhand).

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Md. Sajid Yunus, Advocate
: Mr. Lukesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Shweta Singh, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 09.09.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. Case No. 324 of 2026 arising out of Bardiha P.S. Case No.18 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 816 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 103(1)/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has committed the murder of the husband of the informant namely Bhuneshwar Pando. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the allegation of assault upon the deceased is upon the co-accused -Rampyare Agariya, Kalawati Agariya, Sanjay Agariya and Pritam Agariya and the only allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is the owner of the brick-kiln where the deceased used to work with the informant and after the death of the deceased, the petitioner sent the dead body to the village of the informant and when the informant came back being sent by the police of her village to the brick-kiln, he sent the dead body to Garhwa. It is then submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para -15 of the bail application. It is next submitted

that the petitioner has been in custody since 27.07.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 14 of the bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Garhwa, in connection with S.T. Case No. 324 of 2026 arising out of Bardiha P.S. Case No.18 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 816 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioner will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

09.09.2026
Sonu/