

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Criminal Appeal (DB) No. 1208 of 2025

Sahil Ansari	...	Appellant
	Versus	
The State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Appellant	: Mr. Rishu Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Pankaj Kumar, P.P.

Order No. 06/ Dated: 23rd July, 2026

I.A. No. 8492 of 2026

Heard Mr. Rishu Ranjan, learned counsel for the appellant and learned P.P.

2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences under Section 377 I.P.C. and Section 6 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for 20 years along with a fine of Rs. 25,000/- for the offence under Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

4. It has been alleged that the son of the informant was subjected to an unnatural offence by the appellant and his friend.

5. Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant that it has come in course of evidence that the victim was in the room with the appellant only for a minute which would dilute the allegations levelled against the appellant. It has further been submitted that the medical examination report is not exhibited and even the doctor has not been examined. Learned counsel further submits that the appellant is in custody since long.

6. Learned P.P has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

7. It appears that the victim has been examined as P.W.-5 and he has categorically stated about the manner in which an unnatural offence was committed upon him by the appellant. The DNA profile strengthens the case of the prosecution with respect to the act of the appellant upon the victim.

8. Regard being had to the above, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected at this stage.

9. Accordingly, I.A. No. 8492 of 2026 stands *rejected*.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(ARUN KUMAR RAI, J.)

Dated: 23rd July, 2026

Vedanti/-