

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 951 of 2022

Sonu Mishra @ Santosh Kumar Mishra @ Santosh Mishra ... Appellant
Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH

For the Appellant : Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.

11/17.04.2025

I.A. No. 2819 of 2025

Heard Mr. A.K. Kashyap, the learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant and Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, the learned A.P.P. for the State.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of provisional bail for one month during the pendency of the present criminal appeal. The reason which has been assigned is that the father of the appellant needs immediate treatment for his heart disease and therefore, the appellant has to admit his father at Tata Main Hospital for further treatment. In support of the contention, various prescriptions have been brought on record.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for provisional bail of the appellant.

On perusal of the prescriptions, which have been brought on record, it appears that though some of them are related to cardiac disease but the rest are related to cough and cold for which the father of the appellant was admitted and subsequently discharged. In fact, some of the prescription does indicate that despite the appellant being in custody, his father was admitted to the hospital and was discharged and therefore, the same is contrary to the submission of the learned senior counsel for the appellant that the presence of the appellant is necessary for the purposes of admitting his father in the hospital.

On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to grant provisional bail to the appellant.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 2819 of 2025 stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

S.B.

(AMBUJ NATH, J.)