

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.S. No. 2327 of 2012

Nomita Kisku

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors.

....Respondents

Coram: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARENDRA NATH TIWARI**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate

For the Respondents : JC to G.P. II

I.A. No. 4545 of 2013

07/25-07-2013 In this I.A., the petitioner has prayed for addition of prayer and amendment in the writ petition.

It has been stated that though the petitioner has made statement that three men committee has not recommended the name of the petitioner, the prayer for quashing of that part of the order, affecting her, could not be made in the writ petition.

It has been submitted that the amendment is required for the ends of justice and the amendment prayed for is based on the same factual background, which is given in the writ petition.

Learned J.C. to G.P.II, appearing on behalf of the respondents, has not disputed the said contention and has not opposed the application.

Considering that petitioner has already made statement and that no new prayer has been made and the proposed amendment does not change the nature of the writ petition, the amendment prayed for is allowed.

I.A. is disposed of.

(Narendra Nath Tiwari, J)

Rakesh/