

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 8759 of 2025

Vishnu Pradhan @ Bishnu Pradhan, S/o Sri Fulchand Pradhan, R/o Village-
Mahalimurup, P.O.-Burudih, P.S.-Seraikella, Dist.-Seraikella-Kharsawan

...
Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

....

Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Suraj Deo Munda, A.P.P.

Oral Order

05 / Dated : 05.01.2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner named above has been made accused in connection with Gamharia P.S. Case No. 62 of 2025 for the offence registered under Sections 103(1) and 238(A) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 pending in the court of C.J.M., Seraikella.

The father of the deceased lodged U.D. Case on 15.05.2025 that his son Devanand Pradhan had left home on 13.05.2025 for Tatanagar by his Hero Passion Pro Motorcycle with his Mobile No. 9523234454 and thereafter he was traceless. On the same day, his dead body was recovered and, in the postmortem, the death was attributed to fatal injury, caused by hard blunt object. After conducting enquiry in the U.D. Case, the police lodged the FIR against unknown.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that there is no legal evidence against the petitioner and he has been roped in this case only on the basis of the purported disclosure statement made by accused persons. The petitioner is in custody since 28.06.2025.

It is contended that the statement made before the police, cannot be said to be disclosure statement as it was made on 27.06.2025 at 22:30 hours, whereas seizure of the said motorcycle of the deceased was prepared on the same day at 16:53 hours. Apart from this, there is no material evidence against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering the submission advanced on behalf of the petitioner that the said disclosure statement was made at 22:30 hours, whereas the seizure of the motorcycle of the deceased has been done before the said time, confession leading to recovery cannot be accepted as a disclosure statement in terms of Section 27 of the Evidence Act.

Under the circumstance the petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Court below.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)

AKT/Satendra
Uploaded
06.01.2026