

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Civil) No.1247 of 2025

1. Sudhir Kumar Singh, son of Aditya Singh, resident of Village Chiridiri, P.O. Kanhachatti, P.S. & District Chatra.
2. Aman Kumar Sah, son of Ganesh Sah, resident of Village Kanhawara, P.O. Haripur, P.S. Godda, District Godda.
3. Chandan Kumar Bishwas, son of late Dhirendra Bishwas, resident of Village Deobhanda, P.O. Poraiyahat, P.S. Godda, District Godda.
4. Dayanand Poddar, son of Saryu Poddar, resident of Village & P.O. Pitiz, P.S. Itkhori, District Chatra.
5. Gorang Kumar, son of Sachindra Prasad Choudhary, resident of Village Chormara, P.O. Patharda, P.S. Sarath, District Deoghar.
6. Dinu Rawani, son of Amin Rawani, resident of Village Madhopur, P.O. Pathrol, P.S. Madhupur, District Deoghar.
7. Arun Kumar Mishra, son of Raghunath Mishra, resident of Village, P.O. & P.S. Ahilyapur, District Giridih.
8. Laxmi Kumhar, son of Anand Kumhar, resident of Village Daldali, P.O. Sundarpahari, P.S. Purvi Tundi, District Tundi.

... .. **Petitioners**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Uma Shankar Singh, the Secretary, Primary Education and Literacy Department, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District Ranchi.
3. The Director, Manoj Kumar Ranjan, Primary Education and Literacy Department, Ranchi, P.O. & P.S. Doranda, District Ranchi.
4. Manish Kumar, the Deputy Commissioner, Pakur, P.O. & P.S. Pakur, District Pakur.
5. Nayan Kumar, the District Superintendent of Education, Pakur, P.O. & P.S. Pakur, District Pakur.

... .. **Opposite Parties**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sumir Prasad, Advocate
For the O.P.(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Kamlesh, AC to SC-IV

05/ 08.05.2026

Heard the parties.

2. This contempt case has been filed by the petitioners against non-compliance of order dated 27.02.2025, passed in W.P.(S) No.1015 of 2025.

- 3.** It has been submitted that a reasoned order has been passed and by the said reasoned order, the claim of all the petitioners has been turned down.
- 4.** Considering the said submission, I am of the opinion that no contempt is made out.
- 5.** Accordingly, this Contempt Proceeding is **dropped**.
- 6.** If the petitioners are aggrieved, they may challenge the reasoned order before the appropriate Authority.
- 7.** Pending interlocutory application being I.A. No.2126 of 2026 stands **disposed of**.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

*08th May, 2026
Prashant. Cp-2*

Uploaded on 12.05.2026