

Alamgir Alam	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The Directorate of Enforcement	...	...	Opp. Party

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sameer Saurabh, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Amit Kumar Das, Advocate
Mr. Saurav Kumar, Advocate

- 1.** The case has been listed on the basis of Filing Number under the heading for 'orders (with defects)'.
- 2.** Nature of defect is that the some part of page nos. 113 and 117 are not legible.
- 3.** For ignoring the defect, the petitioner has filed one Interlocutory Application being I.A. No. 9840 of 2024.
- 4.** The matter was heard on 18th October, 2024. Mr. Sameer Saurabh, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought for adjournment of the matter to file supplementary affidavit.
- 5.** Supplementary affidavit has been filed stating therein that whatever copy has been provided on requisition being made for obtaining certified copy has been appended as part of the complaint.
- 6.** The part of page nos. 113 and 117 are also the part of the certified copy, which was supplied by the court

concerned, which is the copy of ECIR, as such the aforesaid defect may be ignored.

7. Mr. Amit Kumar Das, learned counsel appearing for the respondent-ED has submitted that the said defect may be ignored and for appreciation of the said part of the content as found to be not legible as under page no. 113 and 117 will be clarified in the counter affidavit.

8. This Court considering the same is of the view that the said defect is to be ignored. Accordingly ignored.

9. In view thereof, the Interlocutory Application being I.A. No. 9840 of 2024 stands disposed of.

10. Office is to proceed accordingly for further stamp reporting.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)