

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.5050 of 2026

1. Bahadur Mandal @ Bahadur Kumar Mandal, Aged about 21 years, Son of Bihari Mandal, Resident of Village Jashobandh, P.O. – Madankatta & P.S.- Pathrol, District – Deoghar.

2. Bhagni Devi, Aged about 68 years, Wife of Etwari Mandal, Resident of Village Jashobandh, P.O. – Madankatta & P.S.-Pathrol, District – Deoghar.

.... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

.... **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ankit Kumar, Adv.

For the State : Mr. Saket Kumar, A.P.P.

For the Informant : Mr. Akshay Kumar, Adv.

02/Dated: 10th September, 2026

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, the learned counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pathrol P.S. Case No.25 of 2026 for the alleged offences registered under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(2) and 352 of the B.N.S. Act, pending in the Court of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Madhupur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 has already been apprehended and as such he seeks permission to withdraw the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner No.1.

4. Learned counsel for the State has got no objection.

5. In view of the aforesaid submission, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner No.1, namely, Bahadur Mandal @ Bahadur Kumar Mandal, is dismissed as withdrawn.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners confines his prayer only to petitioner No.2. He submits that petitioner no.2 is a lady and the altercation took place due to grazing the field of the informant by the cattle of the petitioners' side. He submits that there are case and counter case between the parties and both the sides have received injuries. He next submits that the petitioner no.2 is

having no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph-11 of the petition. He also submits that six of the accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in A.B.A. No.3352 of 2026 vide order dated 24.06.2026. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

7. Learned counsels appearing for the State and the informant have jointly opposed the prayer and submit that the allegation of assault is there and as such the prayer for anticipatory may kindly be rejected.

8. Considering that there are case and counter case between the parties and the altercation took place due to grazing the field of the informant by the cattle of the petitioners' side and both the sides have received injuries and further the petitioner no.2 has got no criminal antecedent as stated in paragraph-11 of the petition, I am inclined to provide anticipatory bail to the Petitioner No.2.

9. Accordingly, the Petitioner No.2 namely Bhagni Devi, is hereby directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today, and in the event of her surrender/arrest, the petitioner No.2 shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Madhupur in connection with Pathrol P.S. Case No.25 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated: 10th September, 2026

Amar/-

Uploaded on 11.09.2026