

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

L.P.A. No. 231 of 2012

With

L.P.A. No. 227 of 2012

With

L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012

M/s. Tata Steel Ltd.

... Appellant (In all cases)

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand and others

... Respondents (In all cases)

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAV K. GUPTA**

For the Appellant : Mr. Binod Kanth, Sr. Advocate
Mr. G.M. Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Manish Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Ganesh Pathak, Advocate
For the Respondent-State : Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate General
Mr. V.K. Prasad, S.C. (L&C)
Mr. Sahil, A.C. to S.C. (L&C)
For the Respondents : Mr. Jitendra Singh, Sr. Advocate
For the Union of India : Mr. Rajiv Sinha, A.S.G.I.
Mrs. Nitu Sinha, C.G.C.
For Respondent No.6 : Mr. V. Shivnath, Senior Advocate
(In L.P.A. Nos. 231/2012 & 227/2012)

34/Dated: 5th July, 2018

Oral Order

Per D.N. Patel, A.C.J.:

L.P.A. No. 227 of 2012 & L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012

1. L.P.A. No. 227 of 2012 and L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012 involved similar questions, whereas, L.P.A. No. 231 of 2012 is slightly on the different point and, hence, we are segregating L.P.A. No. 227 of 2012 and L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012 from L.P.A. No. 231 of 2012.

2. So far as L.P.A. No. 227 of 2012 and L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012 are concerned, arguments are over. Hence, L.P.A. No. 227 of 2012 and L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012 are adjourned on **19th July, 2018** for dictation of the judgment.

L.P.A. No. 231 of 2012

At the request of learned counsels for both the sides, this matter is adjourned on **7th August, 2018**.

(D.N. Patel, A.C.J.)

(Amitav K. Gupta, J.)