

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
L.P.A. No. 231 of 2012
(M/s Tata Steel Ltd. Vrs. The State of Jharkhand & ors.)
with
L.P.A. No.227 of 2012
(M/s Tata Steel Ltd. Vrs. The State of Jharkhand & ors.)
with
L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012
with
I.A. No. 635 of 2013
(M/s Tata Steel Ltd. Vrs. The State of Jharkhand & ors.)

**CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE JAYA ROY**

....

For the Petitioner : M/s G.M.Mishra & U.Mishra(in all matters)
For the Respondents :Mr.V.Shivnath, S.Adv.
Mr.VK.Prasad &
Mr.Rohit Roy

Order no.4

Dated 29th April, 2013

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties on I.A. No. 635 of 2013 filed in L.P.A. No. 236 of 2012.
2. The aforesaid Interlocutory Application has been preferred by the applicant, who was allowed to be impleaded as party-respondent in the writ Court by order dated 21st March, 2012 but has not been impleaded as party in this Letters Patent Appeal.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that since the present applicant's name was not shown in the copy of the judgment dated 23rd April, 2012, therefore, inadvertently he has not been impleaded as party. Therefore, now the applicant may be impleaded as party.
4. In view of the above reasons, I.A. No.635 of 2013 is allowed. The applicant-Manabendra Nath Mallick is impleaded as party-respondent no.11 in this Letters Patent Appeal.
5. These Letters Patent Appeals have been listed because of the Interlocutory Applications and since the appeals have already been

admitted, order has been passed for listing the matter for hearing at its own turn.

However, in view of I.A.No. 1453 of 2013 filed in L.P.A.No. 227 of 2012 for vacating the interim order dated 15th June, 2012, put up L.P.A. No.227 of 2012 along with connected matters “For Orders” on 8th May, 2013 along with I.A. No. 1453 of 2013.

(Prakash Tatia, C.J.)

(Jaya Roy, J)

G.Jha/