

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5099 of 2026

1. Prabhashi Dan, aged about 32 years, wife of Arjun Dan
2. Goranga Dan @ Gorango Dan, aged about 20 years, son of Arjun Dan

Both are residents of village – Bhagabandh, PO – Bhagaband,
PS – Nirsa, District – Dhanbad, Jharkhand

.... Petitioners

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners	:-	Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate
	:-	Mr. Nishant Kr. Roy, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mr. Fahad Allam, Advocate
For the Informant	:-	Akhilesh Kr. Singh, Advocate

02/09.09.2026 Heard learned senior counsel appearing for the Petitioners,
learned counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel
appearing for the Informant.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection
with Nirsa (MPL OP) P.S. Case No.184 of 2026, for the alleged
offences registered under Sections 126(2), 127(2), 118(2), 117(2),
352, 351(3), 109(2), 190, 189(1) and 189(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st
Class, Dhanbad.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners
submits that the entire family members have been made accused.

He further submits that so far petitioner No.1 is concerned she is a lady and petitioner No.2 is the son of petitioner No.1. He then submits that first case has been lodged by the petitioners' side wherein the allegations are made of making video while the petitioner No.1 along with her daughter-in-law was taking bath and that allegation is made against the son of the informant. He next submits that thereafter the present FIR has been lodged, however, altercation took place due to the act of the son of the informant. He also submits that so far the accused persons in the FIR lodged by the petitioners' side are concerned they have been granted anticipatory bail by learned Sessions Judge.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State and Informant jointly opposes the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of assault and the injury has been received and in view of that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that the first case has been lodged by the petitioners' side while the video was being created by the son of the informant at the time of taking bath by the petitioner No.1 and her daughter-in-law pursuant to that the altercation took place and the entire family members have been made accused and there are general and omnibus allegation and in the FIR lodged by the petitioners' side the informant's side has already been granted anticipatory bail by learned Sessions Judge. In the attending facts and circumstances of this case, I am inclined to provide anticipatory

bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners, above named, are hereby directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today, and in the event of their surrender/arrest, the petitioners, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each, with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Dhanbad in connection with Nirsa (MPL OP) P.S. Case No.184 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 09.09.2026
Sangam/*