

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
ABA No.5557 of 2025

1. Amanullah son of Shahajaha Aged about -54 years
 2. Dilnawaj @ Dilnazullah son of Amanullah, aged about 24 Years.
 3. Shahbaj @ Shaikh Shahbajullah son of Shaikh Amanullah, aged about 32 years.
 All are Resident of Village Chitarpur, Line Par, Near Barki-Pona Railway Station, P.O. Chitarpur, P.S. Rajrappa, District- Ramgarh (Jharkhand). Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

 For the Petitioners : Mr. Nehru Mahto, Advocate
 For the State : Mr. Satish Kumar Keshri, A.P.P.

4/19.09.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioners and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rajrappa P.S. Case No.91 of 2025, for the alleged offences registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), pending in the Court of learned SDJM, Ramgarh.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there are case and counter case between the parties and the scuffle took place due to land dispute and for the said dispute, one Title Suit No.28 of 2020 is also pending. He further submits that so far petitioners No.2 & 3 are concerned, criminal antecedent is there against them and so far, petitioner No.1 is concerned, he is accused in one of the case and in that case, he has been acquitted and there are general and omnibus allegations against petitioner No.1.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer and submits

that the scuffle took place between the parties and petitioners have criminal antecedents.

5. In view of the above and considering that the petitioners No.2 & 3 having criminal antecedent, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners No.2 & 3.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of Petitioners No.2 and 3 above named is hereby rejected.

7. So far as petitioner No.1 is concerned, he is accused in a case, however, it has been pointed out that he has been acquitted in the said case and there are general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner No.1, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner No.1.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner No.1 Amanullah is directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from the date of receipt of the order and on the event of his surrender / arrest, he shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty-Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount of each to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Ramgarh in connection with Rajrappa P.S. Case No.91 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

9. This Anticipatory Bail Application is accordingly allowed and disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

19.09.2025
R.Kumar