

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5513 of 2025

Gunodhar Mahato @ Matal, aged about 41 years, S/o
Gurucharan Mahato, R/o Chhota Banki, PO and PS – M.G.M.,
District – East Singhbhum, State – Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner	:-	Mr. Anup Kr. Agarwal, Advocate
	:-	Mr. Ashutosh Kr. Agarwal, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mr. Fahad Allam, Advocate

03/18.09.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No.3524 of 2019 for the alleged offences registered
under Section 47(a) of Excise Act pending in the Court of learned
Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jamshedpur.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the
allegations are made that Jawa Mahuwa has been seized and
destroyed by the police. He further submits that although the
petitioner is named in the FIR, however, he was not present on the
spot.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer

and submits that the petitioner is having seven criminal antecedents of identical nature and in view of that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that the petitioner is named in the FIR and it has been alleged that the petitioner has fled away from the spot and the allegations are there of storing of Jawa Mahuwa liquor and the petitioner has got seven criminal antecedents as admitted in paragraph No.11 of the petition itself, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated 18.09.2025
Sangam/