

( 2025:JHHC:32290 )

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**B. A. No. 8388 of 2025**

Maksud Alam, aged about 44 years, son of Ajmul Ansari, resident of Village-Karge, P.O. & P.S.- Mandar, District- Ranchi.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Shabnam Khatoon, wife of Maksud Alam, daughter of Nijamuddin Ansari, resident of Village- Swarnakar Mohalla, P.O. & P.S.- Pithoriya, District- Ranchi.

.... Opp. Parties

-----

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH**

-----

|                    |   |                                    |
|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner | : | Mr. Sunil Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate |
| For the State      | : | Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.     |
| For the O.P. No. 2 | : | Mr. Rahul Pandey, Advocate         |

-----

3/ 17.10.2025          Heard the parties.

2.                  Petitioner has been made accused in connection with Complaint Case No. 2300 of 2019 for the offences under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the Court of Sri Rajesh Ranjan Kumar, learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Ranchi.

3.                  The petitioner happens to be the husband of opposite party no.2. It is alleged that the petitioner used to torture the opposite party no. 2 to enforce demand of dowry.

4.                  Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was earlier granted anticipatory bail with certain conditions. The petitioner took his wife to her matrimonial home, but subsequently, opposite party no. 2 again left her matrimonial home. It was further submitted that opposite party no. 2 has herself deserted to her matrimonial home.

5.                  Mr. Rahul Pandey, learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no. 2 has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner has divorced the opposite party no. 2 by giving *Taalak*.

6.                  It appears that the petitioner is in custody for more than two months.

7.                  In view of the submission made above, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of Sri Rajesh Ranjan Kumar, learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Ranchi, or his successor, in connection with Complaint Case No. 2300 of 2019.

**(Ambuj Nath, J.)**