

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 5292 of 2025

- 1. Bikash Kumar Yadav @ Vikash Yadav, aged about 37 years, son of Shri Durga Yadav, resident of Shobhanpur Mathiya Dera, P.O. and P.s. Sahebganj, District Sahebganj
 - 2. Bholu Yadav @ Bholu Kumar, aged about 34 years, son of Shri Durga Yadav, resident of Shobhanpur Mathiya dera, P.O. and P.S. Sahebganj, District-Sahebganj
 - 3. Shakti Kumar Yadav @ Shakti Yadav, aged about 21 years, son of Virendra Yadav @ Birendra Yadav, resident of Shobhanpur Mathiya dera, P.O. and P.S. Sahebganj, District-Sahebganj
- Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners :Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, A.P.P.

04/ 15.09.2025: Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 98/2024, registered under sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 195(1), 115 (2), 117(2), 126(2), 127(2), 112(2), 121 (1), 186, 132, 221, 224, 351(2), 352 and 61(2) of BNS, 2023, pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that earlier petitioners have moved in A.B.A. No. 2475 of 2025 which was dismissed as withdrawn and the present anticipatory bail application has been filed in view of the fact that two of the co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail in A.B.A. No. 4415 of 2025 vide order dated 14.08.2025. He submits that one Pappu Yadav was assaulted by the police for that protest was being made and F.I.R. is against 70-80 unknown persons and 21 named accused persons. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioners. On these grounds, he submits that the petitioners may kindly be provided privilege of

anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer and submits that allegations are there of entering into the scuffle with the police and earlier anticipatory bail of the petitioners has been dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Considering that by order dated 23.04.2025 the anticipatory bail of the petitioners has been dismissed as withdrawn and subsequent thereto by order dated 14.08.2025 two of the accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail in A.B.A. No. 4415 of 2025 and in view of that in changed circumstances second anticipatory bail application can be maintained and further there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including the petitioners and F.I.R. is against 70-80 unknown persons and 21 named accused persons who were present at the spot.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the above named, petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and in the event of their surrender / arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj, in connection with Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 98/2024, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S, 2023.

Dt.15.09.2025

satyarthi-

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)