

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 216 of 2023

Kaushal Singh and Ors. **Appellants**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Ors. **Respondents**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants : Mr. Arpit Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent No.4,5: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. K.K. Bhatt, AC to SC I

18/18.03.2026

I.A. No.1276 of 2025.

Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.

2. The learned counsel for the appellants submits that the petition has been filed for condonation of delay of 216 days. He submits that the appellants reside outside the State of Jharkhand, which has significantly contributed in delay in filing the appeal and appellant no.2 is posted as an Inspector in BSF and residing in Rajasthan. Further details of the appellants have been mentioned in paragraph 6 of the interlocutory application.
3. The learned counsel for respondent nos.4 and 5 has opposed the prayer and referred to the counter affidavit by stating that the delay has not been fully explained.
4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and being satisfied with the cause shown, the delay in filing the appeal is condoned. *Accordingly, I.A. No.1276 of 2025 is allowed.*
5. **Admit.**
6. Respondent Nos.1 to 3 are the State of Jharkhand and their authorities. The learned counsel for the State accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3.
7. Respondent Nos.4 and 5 is represented through their counsel, so no notice need be issued on the respondents.
8. Call for the records from the court concerned.
9. Post this case on 04.05.2026.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)