

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1095 of 2025

Rameshwar Singh	-Versus -	 Appellant
The State of Jharkhand		 Respondent

CORAM: - Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Pradeep Kumar Srivastava

For the Appellant	: Mr. Sudhanshu Shekhar, Advocate
For the State	: Mrs. Vandana Bharti, A.P.P.

04/12.03.2026

I.A. No. 2038 of 2026

Heard Mr. Sudhanshu Shekhar, learned counsel for the appellant and learned A.P.P.

2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences under Sections 363 and 376(1) of the I.P.C. as well as Section 4 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for 10 years alongwith fine of Rs. 25,000/-.

4. It has been alleged that the daughter of the informant was subjected to rape by the appellant.

5. Submission has been advanced by learned counsel for the appellant that the medical report does not support the factum of rape. It has further been submitted that the appellant is in custody since 27.05.2022 and as per the medical report, the age of the victim has been assessed to be 17-19 years.

6. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

7. It seems from the Admit Card that the victim was a minor at the time of incident. The victim, who has been examined as PW-1, has

categorically stated the manner in which she was taken to the jungle by the appellant and was kept there for three days during which period she was subjected to rape.

8. On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the appellant stands rejected at this stage.

9. I.A. No. 2038 of 2026 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

12 March 2026
Rahul/
Uploaded on- 13.03.2026