

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5093 of 2026

Satendra Choudhary @ Satyendra Choudhary, aged about 40 years, S/o Kamal Choudhary, Resident of Quarter No. E/A-6, DVC Colony, Near Milan Mandir, Village Chandrapura (Rangamati South), P.O. and P.S. Chandrapura, District Bokaro, State Jharkhand at present R/o D-129, DVC Colony, Chandrapura, P.O. and P.S. Chandrapura, District Bokaro, State Jharkhand.

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.N. Chatterjee, Advocate.
For the State : Mrs. Mohua Palit, A.P.P.

05/ 09.09.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chandrapura P.S. Case No. 31 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 76, 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned ACJM, Bermo at Tenughat.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner works in Electricity Department in the State of Bihar and presently he resided in the quarter allocated by the Electricity Board in Nawada and he has been falsely implicated by the informant due to her previous dispute in Gardanibagh Mahila P.S. Case No. 152 of 2021 and only to make pressure, this false case has been instituted and to buttress his argument, he refers to Identity Card, which is contained in Annexure-3 of the petition. He further submits that the other co-accused who happened to be the parents and distant relative of this petitioner have already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail

by this court in A.B.A. No. 3684 of 2026. He then submits that for the same allegation, she has already lodged a case in Gardanibagh (Mahila) P.S., in which, this petitioner has already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail by the Patna High Court. He also submits that although the informant is residing in Samastipur in the State of Bihar, however, the FIR has been lodged at Chandrapura giving the address of the parents of this petitioner. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioner.

4. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of making assault. On this ground, she submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that for the same set of allegation, the petitioner has already been implicated in Gardanibagh (Mahila), in which, this petitioner has already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail by the Patna High Court and further the informant is residing in Samastipur in the State of Bihar, however, the FIR has been lodged at Chandrapura giving the address of the parents of this petitioner and even in course of argument, it has been pointed out that there is no injury caused to any person and in the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner, named above, is directed to surrender before the learned court within four weeks from today and in the event of his surrender / arrest, the petitioner, named above, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty-five thousand), with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned ACJM, Bermo at Tenughat, in connection with Chandrapura P.S. Case No. 31 of 2026, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-09.09.2026
Amitesh/-