

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 975 of 2025

Rupesh Kumar, aged about 28 years, son of Sahdeo Yadav,
 resident of Village Chak, PO Malda, PS Ganwan, District Giridih

..... Petitioner(s)

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner(s) :- Mr. S.K. Murthy, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Vijoy Kr. Sinha, Advocate

7/16.02.2026 I.A. No.11819 of 2025 has been filed for condonation of
 delay of 1172 days occurred in filing instant criminal revision petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been convicted by the judgment dated 16.7.2020 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Giridih in Criminal Appeal No.05 of 2017 in which the learned appellate court has affirmed the sentence passed by the learned Juvenile Justice Board, Giridih by order dated 06.01.2017 in General Registration Suit No.655 of 2013/Enquiry No.22 of 2017, Corresponding to G.R. No.655 of 2013, and the petitioner has been held guilty under section 376(2)(f) of the Indian Penal Code and ordered to send the petitioner to Special Home for two years under section 15(1)(g) of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 and the learned Board has further ordered that period spent by the juvenile in observation home/ remand home during the enquiry, shall be set off.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner

submits that the petitioner was having no knowledge and in view of that, the said delay has occurred in filing of the instant criminal revision petition and in view of that, the said delay may kindly be condoned.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent State submits that there is no explanation to condone such huge delay and the judgment is dated 06.01.2017 which was affirmed by learned appellate court by judgment dated 16.7.2020.

5. The only ground is taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner of having no knowledge and even after condoning two years period in light of the Covid-19 pandemic, further the delay of 1 ½ years is there and the only ground is taken that the petitioner is having no knowledge and as such, there is no sufficient ground to condone the said delay. Accordingly, the instant I.A filed for condonation of said delay, is, hereby, rejected on the point of limitation.

6. Since, on the point of limitation itself, the petitioner has not been able to satisfy the Court, and consequently, this criminal revision petition being Cr. Revision No. 975 of 2025, is also dismissed.

7. Pending petition, if any, also stands dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated : 16.02.2026

SI/