

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 883 of 2019

1. Shyam Lal Munda			
2. Rai Singh Munda		---	Appellants
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand.		---	Respondent

CORAM: **Hon’ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay**
 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Pradeep Kumar Srivastava

For the Appellants	:	Mr. Abhishek Kr. Dubey, Adv.
For the State	:	Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, Spl.P.P

I.A. No.1553 of 2024

06/15.09.2025 Heard Mr. Abhishek Kr. Dubey, learned counsel for the appellants and Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, learned Spl.P.P.

This application has been preferred by the appellants for grant of bail to them during pendency of the appeal.

At the outset Mr. Abhishek Kumar Dubey, learned counsel for the appellants submits that he is not pressing the bail application so far as the appellant no.1 is concerned.

As such, the prayer for bail of the appellant no.1 is dismissed as not pressed.

So far as the prayer for bail of the appellant no.2 is concerned, submission has been advanced that he was armed with a Lathi and though it is said that both the appellants had committed four murders but none of the murders has been attributed to any assault committed with a Lathi and in fact all the deceased had suffered sharp cut injuries which can be attributed to the appellant no.1 who was armed with a Farsa. It has been submitted that the appellant no.2 is in custody since 01.11.2015.

Learned Spl.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant no.2.

The allegations reveal that both the appellants being armed with Farsa and Lathi had come to the house of the informant and had committed the murders of two brothers of the informant as well as the sister of the

informant and the mother of the informant. The evidence of the informant indicates that the appellant no.2 was armed with a Lathi. The post-mortem report reveals that all the deceased had suffered sharp cut injuries which can be attributed to a Farsa which was in possession of the appellant no.1.

On consideration of the aforesaid facts apart from the period of custody undergone by the appellant no.2, we are inclined to admit him on bail. Accordingly, the appellant no.2 above named is directed to be released on bail during pendency of the appeal on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Seraikella Kharswan in connection with Sessions Trial No.15 of 2016.

I.A. No. 1553 of 2024 stands allowed and disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Dated: 15th September 2025
Shamim/