

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.8010 of 2026

Naresh Mandal @ Naresh Kumar Mandal, aged about 23 years,
Son of Kedal Mandal Resident of village Kala Road Sariya P.O. +
P.S. Sariya District- Giridih.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. P.P. N. Roy, Sr. Advocate
 Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Ms. Amrita Kumari, Addl.P.P.
 Mr. Vipul Divya, Advocate.

Order No:-03 Dated:-09-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Saria P.S. Case No. 106 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 308(2), 118(1), 109(1), 351(2)(3), 324(5), 333 of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was a member of unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of assembly armed with deadly weapons, attempted to commit the murder of the mother of the informant namely Shanti Devi. It is submitted that the main allegation is against the co-accused namely Surendra Mandal, Sagar Mandal and Suraj Kumar Mandal of assaulting Shanti Devi and the only allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was also a member of unlawful assembly and subsequent to lodging of the F.I.R., he also entered into the house of the informant and caused injuries to the relatives of the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 30.06.2026 as mentioned in para-11 of the instant bail application and charge-sheet has also been submitted

against the petitioner. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner undertakes not to annoy the disturb the informant or witnesses of the case, he will not go towards the west side of his house that is the direction in which the house of the informant is situated and will co-operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M. 1st Class, Giridih in connection with Saria P.S. Case No. 106 of 2026 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case and will not go towards the western portion of his house in which direction the house of the informant is situated and will not go to or near the house of the informant during the pendency of the trial and the petitioner will file an undertaking to this effect before the learned trial court and in case the petitioner violates the undertaking given before the trial court, the learned trial court will be at liberty to cancel the bail granted to the petitioner in connection with this case, after giving opportunity of being heard to the petitioner.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 09.09.2026
Amar/