

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5147 of 2025

1. Bansi Ganjhu, aged about 55 years, son of Mithu Ganjhu, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand
2. Rajdev Ganjhu, aged about 31 years, son of Charan Ganjhu, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra
3. Munarik Kumar @ Munarik Ganjhu, aged about 26 years, son of Prabhu Ganjhu, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand
4. Basdev Ganjhu, aged about 57 years, son of Puran Ganjhu, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand
5. Dhariman Bharti, aged about 48 years, son of Bhaju Bharti @ Raju Bharti, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand
6. Deepak Bharti, aged about 33 years, son of Bhaju Bharti @ Raju Bharti, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand
7. Sakindra Bharti, aged about 57 years, son of Raju Bharti @ Bhaju Bharti, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand
8. Basant Ganjhu, aged about 44 years, son of Mithu Ganjhu, resident of Margada, P.O. & P.S. Kunda, District- Chatra, Jharkhand

... Petitioners

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand

... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Shailesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Ruby Pandey, A.P.P.

04/15.09.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.01 of 2023, registered for the offence under Section 33(1)(C) of Indian Forest Act, pending in the Court of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chatra.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been false implicated in the case and the allegations are made that in the forest area, poppy cultivation was done by the petitioners. He further submits that the petitioners have nothing to do with the said cultivation and even the

land is not belonging to them. He also submits that the petitioners are having no criminal antecedents, as disclosed in paragraph 15 of this application.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there of cultivating poppy plants.

5. Considering that even the land has been denied by the petitioners and the allegations are made that in forest land, cultivation of poppy plant was made and the petitioners have got no criminal antecedents and in the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chatra in connection with Complaint Case No.01 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated: 15th September, 2025
Ajay/*