

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5462 of 2025

Vinay Mehta, aged about 40 years, son of Kokil Mehta @ Kokil Prasad Mehta, resident of village – Soki, PO – Amjhar, PS – Itkhor, District - Chatra

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Jay Prakash Pandey, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, Advocate

04/17.09.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mayurhand P.S. Case No.05 of 2025 for the alleged offences registered under Sections 303(2), 317(5) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Section 4/21 of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957, Rule 4/54 of Jharkhand Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 2004 and under Rule 7/9/13 of Jharkhand Minerals (Prevention of Illegal Mining Transportation and Storage) Rule, 2017 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chatra.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has earlier moved before this Court in ABA No.2343 of 2025 which was dismissed as withdrawn by order dated 08.07.2025. He submits that in the changed circumstances the present anticipatory bail application has been filed and two co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail in ABA No.2923 of 2025 by order dated

29.05.2025.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that there is no changed circumstance and that order was already existing in spite of that the petitioner has withdrawn the petition. He submits that after the change of roster the present anticipatory bail application has been filed.

5. ABA No.2343 of 2025 has been withdrawn on 08.07.2025 and two co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail in ABA No.2923 of 2025 by order dated 29.05.2025 and withdrawal has been made on 08.07.2025 of ABA No.2343 of 2025 and in view of that the ground was already existing at the time of withdrawal and after change of the roster present anticipatory bail application has been filed. There is no changed circumstances in the present ABA, as such this anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated 17.09.2025
Sangam/