

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. A. (DB) No. 1363 of 2023

Jaiveer Oraon, aged about 35 years, son of Jhirga Oraon, resident of
Village-Jhikigujru Toli, P.O. & P.S.-Lapung, District-Ranchi

... .. **Appellant**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant : Mr. Shashank Shekhar Prasad, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, Spl.P.P.

Order No.08/ Dated: 20th March, 2026

I.A. No. 2814 of 2026

Heard Mr. Shashank Shekhar Prasad, learned counsel for the
appellant and learned Spl.P.P.

2. The prayer for bail of the appellant was earlier rejected in I.A. No.
3563 of 2025.

3. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that
after the rejection of the prayer for bail of the appellant one of the
co-convict Jetha Kachhap has been granted bail by this Court. It has also
been submitted that the appellant is in custody for about 3 years.

4. Learned Spl.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

5. It seems that there are strong circumstances based on the evidence
of P.W.-1, P.W.-2 & P.W.-3 that the appellant was also involved in firing
at the informant as well as taking part in the murder of the wife of the
informant. So far as the co-convict Jetha Kachhap is concerned, learned
counsel admits that the period of custody was the primary consideration
for grant of bail to him. The appellant has remained in custody only for 3
years therefore, his case is distinguishable from the case of co-convict
Jetha Kachhap.

6. Considering the role played by the appellant, we are not inclined to reconsider the prayer for bail of the appellant. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the appellant is hereby rejected, once again.

7. The aforesaid I.A. stands rejected and disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

20.03.2026

Arpit

Uploaded on 24/03/2026