

1. Surya Narayan Tiwary @ Suryanarayan Tiwari					
2. Anugrah Narayan Tiwary @ Anugarh Narayan Tiwari					
3. Navneet Ranjan Tiwary @ Navneet Ranjan @ Navnit Ranjan Tiwari					
	Versus		...	Petitioners	
The State of Jharkhand				Opposite Party	

For the Petitioners : Mr. R.S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate.
For the State : Ms Nehala Sharmin, A.P.P.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that on the basis of protest petition, cognizance has been taken against the petitioner under Section 307 of IPC. He submits that previously the case has been investigated by the police and in the chargesheet Section 307 IPC was not there, however, on the protest petition, the learned court has taken the cognizance for the offence under Section 307 IPC. He further submits that without following the procedure of Section 204 Cr.P.C., the said cognizance has been taken. He submits that neither the SA was taken nor the witnesses have been examined, in spite of that cognizance for the offence under Section 307 IPC has been taken against the petitioners. He further submits that the petitioners are aggrieved with that part of the order.

Charge shall not be framed against the petitioners, in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 264 of 2021 corresponding to G.R. No. 950 of 2022 till the next date of listing.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)