

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.C.(D.B.) No.2 of 2018

Vidya Bharti Chinmaya Vidyalaya and another

Appellants

....
Versus

Subra Palit

Respondent

.....
With

A.C.(D.B.) No.1 of 2018

Manoranjan Prasad Sinha

Appellant

....
Versus

Managing Committee of Vivekanand Vidya Mandir

Respondent

.....
With

A.C.(D.B.) No.1 of 2019

Upendra Kumar Pandey @ Upendra Pandey

Appellant

....
Versus

The Management of Vidya Vikash Samiti

Respondent

.....
With

A.C.(D.B.) No.1 of 2021

Krishna Kumar Jha

Appellant

....
Versus

St. Xavier's School, Bokaro Steel City

Respondent

.....
CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

In A.C.(DB) No.1 of 2019:

For the Appellant

: Mr. Nipun Bakshi, *Amicus*

Mr. Shubham Sinha, Advocate

For Respondent

: Mr. Srijit Choudhary, Advocate

Mr. Ayush Ojha, Advocate

27/Dated: 06.02.2026

1. All the cases have been listed together for hearing on the issue of maintainability. The issue of maintainability has already been recorded by virtue of the order passed by this Court, vide order dated 21.11.2025.

2. Mr. Shubham Sinha, learned counsel for appearing in A.C. (D.B.) No.1 of 2019 has submitted that the only reason for listing of all these cases together for the issue of maintainability and the issue of maintainability since has already been decided and as such, all these matters are to be heard separately, having different cause of action and different orders passed by the Jharkhand Education Tribunal.
3. There is no opposition by Mr. Srijit Choudhary, learned counsel appearing for the respondent in A.C.(DB) No.1 of 2019.
4. Considering the aforesaid fact, these cases are being detached and are to be taken up separately. However, the cases will maintain its position.
5. Mr. Shubham Sinha, learned counsel appearing in A.C.(DB) No.1 of 2019 and Mr. Srijit Choudhary, learned counsel appearing for respondent in A.C.(DB) No.1 of 2019, are ready to argue the matter.
6. As such, the appeal being A.C.(DB) No.1 of 2019 is being taken up for its hearing.

A.C.(DB) No.1 of 2019:

7. Heard Mr. Shubham Sinha, learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Srijit Choudhary, learned counsel for the respondent.
8. Attention has been drawn towards the enquiry report on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellant.
9. We, after going through the enquiry report, have found that the enquiry appears to be inconclusive in absence of any conclusion.

10. This Court, therefore, is of the view that the original record of entire domestic enquiry needs to be perused.

11. Accordingly, Mr. Srijit Choudhary, learned counsel for the respondent-Management is directed to produce the original record pertaining to domestic enquiry which has been culminated by inflicting punishment of dismissal, and subsequently, switched over to compulsory retirement, in pursuance of the order passed by this Court in A.C. (S.B.) No.16 of 2007.

12. List this case on 16.03.2026.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai J.)

06.02.2026
Rohit-Saurabh/-