

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 851 of 2024

Angur Ghosh, widow of Amulya Ghosh, R/o Village Kesharda,
P.O. Kesharda, P.S. Baharagora, Dist.-East Singhbhum,
Jharkhand. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Dr. Rajendra Bharti, S/o not known to the petitioner, Registrar,
Kolhan University, Chaibasa, having its office at Chaibasa, P.O.
& P.S. Chaibasa, District West Singhbhum, Jharkhand
3. Dr. Gurucharan Sahu, S/o not known to the petitioner, Registrar,
Ranchi University, Ranchi, P.O.-G.P.O, P.S.-Kotwali, Dist.-Ranchi
.... .. Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
Ms. Juhi Kumari, Advocate
For the O.P. No.1 : Mr. Anshuman Kumar, AC to SC (L&C)-II
For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Sharon Toppo, AC to
Dr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 3 : Mr. Anoop Kr. Mehta, Advocate

15/20.03.2026 The present contempt petition has been filed for initiation of
contempt proceeding as against the opposite parties alleging willful
violation of order dated 08.12.2023 passed by this Court in Cont.
Case (Civil) No. 537 of 2023.

2. Mr. A.K. Mehta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
opposite party no. 3, on instruction, submits that all payments due
to the petitioner's deceased husband has already been made except
arrears of salary of Rs.4,223/- for the period from 01.04.2000 to
31.05.2001. The said amount has already been sanctioned and
cheque has been forwarded to the Principal of Tata College,
Chaibasa. The petitioner shall be paid the said amount by the
college very soon. As regards UGC arrears of Rs.17,471/-, the same
shall be paid to the petitioner once the same is released by the
State Government.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and

considering that the substantial compliance has already been made by the University, there is no need to further proceed in the present matter.

4. The contempt proceeding as against the opposite parties is hereby dropped.

5. The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. The petitioner is at liberty to pursue her subsisting grievance as permissible under law.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

March 20, 2026
Pawan
Uploaded on 23.03.2026