

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 9178 of 2025

Dipak Rajak, aged about 37 years, S/o-Santlal Rajak, R/o-
Sakadaha Simarpur, P.O. & P.S. Jasidih, District-Deoghar

... .. Petitioner

-Versus -

The State of Jharkhand

... .. Opposite Party

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH

For the Petitioner	: M/s. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate
	: M/s. Kumar Rishab Anand, Advocate
For the State	: M/s. Subodh Kumar Dubey, A.P.P.
For the Informant	: M/s. Shiv Prasad, Advocate
	: M/s. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate

02/16.10.2025

Heard the parties

2. The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No. 185 of 2024 arising out of Jasidih P.S. Case No. 72 of 2024 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 791 of 2024, for the offences registered under Sections 452, 323, 325, 379, 354B, 302 and 120B of the I.P.C., pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Deoghar.
3. Bail Application of the petitioner was earlier rejected by this Court vide B.A. No. 7198 of 2024 by order dated 02.01.2025.
4. Petitioner is alleged to have inflicted knife blow on the person of the deceased, due to which, he succumbed to his injury.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court towards the post-mortem report. It was submitted that the doctor has not specifically stated that on which part of the body of the deceased, petitioner had stabbed.
6. Considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to release the petitioner, on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail stands rejected.
7. It appears that the petitioner is in custody since 14.03.2024.
8. The learned Trial Court shall expedite the trial and conclude the same within 9 months from the next date fixed for recording of the evidence. If the trial is not concluded within the same period, the petitioner, if so advised, may renew his prayer for bail.

(Ambuj Nath, J.)

October 16, 2025

Nandini/-
Uploaded