

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S). No. 4567 of 2017

Ranjan Chandra	Versus	 Petitioner.
The Bank of India & Ors.		 Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S. N. PATHAK
(Through: Video Conferencing)

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar, Sr. Advocate & Ms. Aprajita Bhardwaj, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate

I.A. No.1769 of 2018

6/ 06.04.2021 Instant interlocutory application has been filed for amendment in the prayer portion of the main writ application on account of cause of action arisen subsequently. The proposed amendment has been made in para-7 of the I.A.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that if the proposed amendment is not allowed, the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and as such, for the ends of justice, petitioner may be permitted to carry out the proposed amendment in the prayer portion of the main writ application.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection, if the amendment is allowed and the same may be inserted in the prayer portion of the original writ application.

In view of fair submission of learned counsel for the parties, I.A. No. 1769 of 2018 stands allowed.

W.P.(S). No. 4567 of 2017

Petitioner is directed to file amended writ application within a period of two weeks.

Let a copy of the amended writ application be served to the learned counsel appearing for the respondents and if the respondents feel that counter affidavit is needed to the amended writ application, the same may be filed and served to the learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

Put up this case after three weeks.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)