

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F. A. No. 82 of 2025

Husan Bano and Others	...	...	Appellants
Versus			
Abdul Bari and Others	...	...	Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants	: Mr. Sudhir Kr. Sharma, Advocate
For the Respondents 5 to 11	: Mr. D.C. Mishra, Advocate
	: Mr. Abhijeet Anand, Advocate
For the Respondents 58 to 63	: Mr. Abhijeet Anand, Advocate

16/04.05.2026

1. As per office note respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 5 to 12, 14, 16, 39 to 41, 43, 44, 58 to 63 have already appeared by filing *Vakalatama*.
2. Respondent Nos. 17, 19 to 23, 28, 52 and 55 have been served personally. The notice is accepted as validly served.
3. Respondent Nos. 4, 13, 25 to 27, 30, 31, 33, 34, 42, 53, 54, 56, 57 have been served through their brother, notice upon respondent No. 18 has been served through daughter, notice upon respondent No. 32 has been served through her son.
4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the notice upon the aforesaid respondents may be accepted as validly served.
5. Considering the submission, the notice upon the aforesaid respondents having been served through their close relative therefore, the notice upon them has been accepted as validly served.
6. ***As per office note, respondent Nos. 15, 24 and 29 have been reported dead.***
7. Notice upon respondent No 35 to 39, 45 to 48 and 49 to 51 could not be served.
8. Learned counsel for the appellants prays for and is granted four weeks' time to take fresh steps for service of notice upon respondent Nos. 35 to 39, 45 to 48 and 49 to 51 at their present and correct

address through speed post for which requisites be filed within four weeks from today.

9. Office is directed to track the speed-post-delivery and prepare a proper office note with regard to service of notice.

10. *It has been reported by the learned counsel for the respondents that respondent No. 60 had expired on 25.09.2025.*

11. Post this case on 13th July, 2026.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Rakesh/-