

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr.M.P. No. 2194 of 2017

Himanshu Shekhar Nath ... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand & another ... Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner :Mr. Anil Kumar, Sr. Advocate &
Ms. Chandana Kumari, Advocate
For the State :APP

Order No. 02

Dated 30th August, 2017

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was a bank Manager of Syndicate Bank during the relevant period. It has also been submitted that the informant stood as a guarantor with respect to a loan taken by M/s. Datsun Technology Ltd. It has further been stated that there was no necessity of obtaining collateral security for sanction the loan upto Rs. 50 Lakhs. It has further been stated that notice was sent to the informant under the SERFESAI Act in the case instituted before the Debts Recovery Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 497 of 2014 and 496 of 2014 and subsequently thereafter the informant had instituted a criminal case on the allegation that the entire sale deed was forged and fabricated.

Issue notice to the opposite party No. 2 to show cause as to why this application be not admitted and/or disposed of at the stage of admission itself for which requisite etc. under registered cover with A.D. as well as by ordinary process must be filed by 08.09.2017.

List this case under the heading 'For Admission' after appearance of opposite party No. 2.

Until further orders, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner in connection with Chouka P.S. Case No. 02 of 2015 (G.R. No. 28 of 2015), pending in the Court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Seraikella.

In view of the aforesaid order, I.A. No. 7036 of 2017 stands disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)