

[2026:JHHC:27053]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.8392 of 2026

1. Sandeep Kumar @ Sandeep Kuamr, aged about 31 years, son of
Mahadev Mahatha, resident of Teldiha Road, Bandhgoda Side
P.O.- Satanpur, P.S.- Pindrajora, District- Bokaro

2. Aakash Kumar @ Akash Kumar, aged about 29 years, son of
Manoj Kumar, resident of Dehri Road, Jhopri, P.O. and P.S.-
Sector -12, District- Bokaro Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. R. S. Mazumdar, Sr. Advocate Mr. Rohan Mazumdar, Advocate Mr. Nishant Kr. Roy, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Subodh Kr. Dubey, Addl.P.P
For the Informant	: Mr. Baibhaw Gahlaut, Advocate Mr. Subhneet Jha, Advocate Mr. Kshitiz Arya, Advocate

Order No.02 Dated-09-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with
Sector-4 P.S. Case No.42 of 2026 registered for the offences
punishable under Section 115(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 126, 3(5) of the
B.N.S., 2023.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the
allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners, in
furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons, have
committed the murder of Gopal Dom. It is submitted that the
allegation against the petitioners is false. Drawing attention of this
Court towards para-20 of the instant bail application, learned senior
counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have no
criminal antecedent. It is next submitted that only because of Gopal

Dom along with petitioner and the co-accused Jai Prakash Thakur were sitting together in the garden and were taking liquor and after sometime, the deceased was found in an injured state, hence, suspicion is raised that the petitioners might have committed the murder of the deceased who died during the course of his treatment in hospital. It is further submitted that even this story developed later on, is suspicious as the petitioners are not mentioned in the F.I.R. It is also submitted that though charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case but no witness has been examined as yet. It is then submitted that the petitioners undertake that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and that they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 09.06.2026 as has been mentioned in para-21 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioners be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bokaro in connection with Sector-4 P.S. Case No.42 of 2026 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case, furnish their mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)