

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No.2009 of 2025

Rajni Kant Sharma	...	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Another	...	Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Abhishek Singh, Advocate Ms. Shipra Sonam, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Achyut Keshav, AAG V Mr. Baibhav Gahlaut, AC to AAG V
For the O.P. No.2	: Mr. Dilip Kr. Karmakar, Advocate

Order No:-13 Dated:-10-12-2025

I.A. No.14022 of 2025

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer to amend the instant Cr.M.P. by incorporating the additional prayer of staying the criminal proceedings of G.R. Case No.1549 of 2017. It is next submitted that in paragraph-1 it has been mentioned that the petitioner has made prayer in this interlocutory application for amendment of this Cr.M.P.. Hence, it is submitted that the prayer, as prayed for in this interlocutory application be allowed.

Considering the fact that the prayer made in paragraph-1 of this instant interlocutory application is contradictory to the prayer made in page 5 of this interlocutory application, hence, this interlocutory application is rejected being a vexatious one.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

I.A. No.16110 of 2025

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer of staying the criminal proceedings of G.R. Case No.1549 of 2017. It is next submitted that in paragraph-1 it has been mentioned that the petitioner has made prayer in this interlocutory

application for amendment of this Cr.M.P.. Hence, it is submitted that the prayer, as prayed for in this interlocutory application be allowed.

Considering the fact that since the prayer made in paragraph-1 of this instant interlocutory application is contradictory to the prayer made in page 5 of this interlocutory application, hence, this interlocutory application is rejected being a vexatious one.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Cr.M.P. No.2009 of 2025

Perusal of the record reveals that vide order dated 12.02.2025 prayer for time was allowed to the petitioner as the last chance and today also learned counsel for the petitioner again prays for time.

Prayer for time is allowed subject to deposit of Rs.1,000/- by the petitioner with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within four weeks from the date of this order, failing which, this Cr.M.P. shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

List this Cr.M.P. after four weeks in case the petitioner files the proof of deposit of Rs.1,000/- by the petitioner with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within four weeks from the date of this order.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)