

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Rev. No.931 of 2023

Amit Kumar Sharma Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and another Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHASH CHAND

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vishnu Prabhakar Pathak, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Subodh Kumar Dubey, APP
For the OP No.2 : Mr. Amlan Palit, Advocate

06/05.10.2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 as well as the learned APP for the State are present.

I.A. No. 6729 of 2023

2. The instant interlocutory application is for condonation of delay of 25 days in preferring this Cr. Revision against the impugned order.

3. The delay of 25 days is explained in para nos.4, 5 and 6 in the interlocutory application.

4. The grounds shown for condonation of the delay are found sufficient.

5. In view of the reason given in the I.A., the delay of 25 days in preferring the Cr. Revision is condoned.

6. Accordingly, I.A No. 6729 of 2023 stands disposed of.

I.A. No. 7149 of 2023

7. The instant interlocutory application is filed for ignoring the defect as pointed out by the office and correction in name of respondent no.2 and his parents.

8. The defect as pointed out by the office is, hereby, ignored.

9. Accordingly, I.A No. 7149 of 2023 stands disposed of.

10. The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to make necessary correction in the cause title during course of day.

I.A. No. 6728 of 2023

11. This interlocutory application is with the prayer to release on bail during pendency of this criminal revision and to stay the fine imposed by the learned court below.

12. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that total amount of compensation of Rs. 4,00,000/- is being paid just today by way of

demand draft to the complainant and he has been languishing in jail about last 3 months and contended to release on bail and to stay the fine inflicted by the learned court below during pendency of this criminal revision.

13. Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 and learned APP for the State opposed the contentions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

14. The learned counsel for the complainant has conceded that total amount of compensation of Rs. 4,00,000/- he is accepting which is being paid by him by way of demand draft.

15. Demand draft is being handed over before the Court by the learned counsel for the petitioner and same has been received by the learned counsel for the complainant.

16. In view of the submissions made and material on record, the I.A. No. 6728 of 2023 is allowed.

17. In consequence thereof, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail during pendency of this criminal revision on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/-(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned and the fine imposed by the learned court below is being stayed during pendency of this criminal revision.

Cr. Revision No.931 of 2023

18. Admit.

19. The office is directed to call for the lower courts record from the court concerned.

20. List on 30.11.2023.

(Subhash Chand, J.)