

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Civil Review No. 76 of 2019

1. Mukesh Kumar Sinha, aged 63 years, son of Late Choudhary Shital Prasad, resident of Yadav Babu Chowk, PO, PS & District- Hazaribagh.
2. Naresh Mishra, aged 62 years, son of Late Anadit Mishra, resident of Village- Lakhe, PO: Korra, PS: Sadar, District- Hazaribagh.
... .. Petitioners.

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Chief Secretary, Project Building, PO & PS: Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.
2. Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj and NREP (Special Division), Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, PO & PS: Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.
3. Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, PO & PS: Dhurwa, District- Ranchi.
4. Director, Panchayati Raj, Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, PO & PS: Dhurwa, District- Ranchi
... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR**

For the Petitioner : Mr. A. K. Sahani, Advocate.
For Resp.-State : Mr. Manish Kumar, Sr. S.C.-II.
Mr. Ashiwini Bhushan, A.C. to Sr. S.C.-II.

05/Dated: 25.03.2026

I.A. No. 3777 of 2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. This interlocutory application is for bringing the legal representatives of the deceased review petitioner on record.
3. This application is allowed and the legal representatives are permitted to be substituted in place of the original petitioner No.1.
4. The necessary amendment to be carried out forthwith.
5. Mr. A. K. Sahani, learned counsel appears for the legal representatives.
6. I.A. No. 3777 of 2026 for substitution is disposed of.

I.A. No. 3594 of 2021

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. In this interlocutory application, the petitioner seeks condonation of delay of 132 days in instituting this review petition.

9. We have perused the averments in the I.A. and are satisfied that sufficient cause has been shown.

10. Accordingly, the delay is condoned and I.A. No. 3594 of 2021 is disposed of.

Civil Review No. 76 of 2019

11. Learned counsel for the review petitioners seeks leave to withdraw this petition with liberty to take out appropriate proceedings before the appropriate Forum as the law permits.

12. Leave is granted by leaving open all objections, contentions of all parties.

13. The review petition is disposed of as withdrawn in the above terms without any order for costs.

14. Pending Interlocutory Applications, if any, do not survive and are disposed of.

(M. S. Sonak, C.J.)

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

25.03.2026

N.A.F.R.

APK/Amar

Uploaded on 26.03.2026