

Surendra Yadav	...	...	Petitioner
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	...	...	Opp. Party

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Tiwari, Spl. PP.
: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Lakra,
Director, Prosecution (though V.C.)

2. Learned counsel for the opposite party-State has himself pointed out certain mis-match has been found in the counter affidavit filed by the learned counsel for the State.

“That in para-33 & 34 of the case diary the I.O. has described that through the JMIS/14C/NCCRP/Cyber Safe portal it has been found that there are 282 crime links and 10 FIR found against the mobile/SIM found and seized from the accused petitioner.”

5. This assumes importance in view of the fact that earlier bail application of the petitioner was rejected by recording a submission of the State that 10 FIRs has been found to be registered against the petitioner on the basis of paragraph 33 and 34 of the case diary.

7. The Superintendent of Police of the concerned District is directed to file an affidavit in this case and the affidavit should clearly state with respect to the 10 FIRs which is mentioned in the portal with all its FIR numbers.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)